

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra United 2013, 1450

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.usplo.go

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,730	06/25/2001	Clive Hayball	0584-1041	2086
23644	7590 08/10/200		EXAM	IINER
BARNES &	BARNES & THORNBURG, LLP		BILGRAMI, ASGHAR H	
P.O. BOX 278	36			
CHICAGO, I	L 60690-2786		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			21.42	

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/888,730	HAYBALL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Asghar Bilgrami	2143
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MADE IN Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re unication. tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>05 Mav 2006</i> .	•
	b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition f	,	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practic	·	
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,7,8,10,12,13 and 19-3</u>	6 is/are pending in the application	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	- ia.a	
6) Claim(s) 1,2,5,7,8,10,12,13 and 19-3	6 is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	<u></u>	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 June 2001</u>		cted to by the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objec		•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	o. Isroigh phoney under oo o.o.o. 9	() () () () .
1. Certified copies of the priority of	documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority of		pplication No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of		· ·
application from the Internation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
* See the attached detailed Office action		received.
The state of the s		· · · · · · · ·
Attachment(s)		
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P1		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date
eri i induce di pianapeiadh a fateill Didwillu Neview (F.		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F	210/8B/08)	norman atent Application (1 10-102)

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 8, 10, 12, 13, 19-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Logan et al (U.S. 6,578,0066 B1).
- 3. As per claims 1, 25-27 & 30 Logan disclosed a computer readable storage medium storing instructions that, when executed on entities within a network cause the entities to perform a method for handling a resource request, the method comprising the steps of; receiving a resource request at a GLLS at a network edge from a client, the resource request identifying the requested resource: the GLLS forwarding the resource request to a GDLS at a, location remote from the network edge (col.4, lines 47-67 & col.5, lines 1-2): the GDLS searching a database for a resource record associated with the requested resource the resource record including a series of executable instructions (col.3, lines 39-67): the GDLS analyzing a set of resource providers and determining the resource providers compatible with the resource request; the GDLS transmitting

a response containing a list of resource providers to the GLLS, the list including server selection criteria associated with the resource providers; the GLLS selecting the best resource provider in the list according, to the server selection criteria: and the GLLS executing- the executable instructions to facilitate providing the requested resource to the client by the best resource provider (col.5, lines 46-67 & col.6, lines 1-3).

Page 3

- 4. As per claim 2 Logan disclosed a method according to Claim 1, wherein the resource request further comprises information relating to client location in the network and access speed (col.5, lines 46-65).
- 5. As per claim 5 Logan disclosed a method according to Claim 2 wherein the information is added to the resource request after said resource request is received at the GLLS from the client (col.4, lines 47-67 & col.5, lines 1-2).
- 6. As per claim 7 Logan disclosed a method according to claim 1, wherein the GLLS is a DNS server and the step of receiving a resource request comprises receiving a request concerning access to the resource provide (col.4, lines 47-67 & col.5, lines 1-2).
- 7. As per claim 8 Logan disclosed a method according to Claim.1:, further comprising the steps of the: the GLLS converting the resource request form operable by the GDLS and transmitting the converted resource request to the GDLS (col.4, lines 47-67 & col.5, lines 1-2).

Art Unit: 2143

8. As per claim 10 Logan disclosed a method according to Claim 1, wherein the requested resource is provided to the client by the best resource Provider via the GLLS (col.5, lines 46-67 & col.6, lines 1-3).

Page 4

- 9. As per claim 12 & 13 Logan disclosed a method according to Claim 1, wherein resource provider is an application (col.5, lines 46-67 & col.6, lines 1-3).
- 10. As per claim 19 Logan disclosed a method according to Claim 1, wherein the resource request is a DNS record and the information in the resource request is contained within an additional DNS text field forming part of the DNS record (col.5, lines 46-67 & col.6, lines 1-3).
- 11. As per claim 20 Logan disclosed a method according to Claim 16, wherein the response transmitted by the GDLS is a DNS record and the server selection criteria of the compatible resource providers are contained within an additional DNS text field forming part of the DNS record (col.5, lines 46-67 & col.6, lines 1-3).
- 12. As per claim 21 Logan disclosed a method according to Claim 1, further comprising Identifying a lookup means for accessing said resource provider (col.3, lines 8-25).
- 13. As per claim 22 Logan disclosed a method according to claim 21 wherein the look up means comprises an address (col.3, lines 8-25).

Art Unit: 2143

14. As per claims 23 & 24 Logan disclosed a method according to Claim 21 wherein the

identifying comprises retrieving a second identity of the network entity (col.4, lines 47-67 &

Page 5

col.5, lines 1-2).

15. As per claim 29 Logan disclosed an architecture according to claim 28, further

comprising a content, distribution point manager CDPM associated with the GDL, the CDPM

holding information on resource provider, said CDPM configured to provide information on all

known resource providers able to supply the requested resource on receiving a query from the

GLLS corresponding to the resource request received by GLLS (col.4, lines 47-67 & col.5, lines

1-2).

16. As per claim 31 Logan disclosed a method according to Claim 2, wherein the sever

selection criteria includes information on one of the group comprising: a response time of said

resource provider, a load on said resource provider, a distance to the resource provider from the,

and a throughput of the resource provider (col.5, lines 46-67 & col.6, lines 1-3).

17. As per claim 32 Logan disclosed a method according to Claim 1, wherein the requested

resource is available on the resource provider but is not available on the GLLS (col.4, lines 47-67

& col.5, lines 1-2).

18. As per claim 33 Logan disclosed a communications network comprising the scalable

architecture as claimed in claim 27 (col.12, lines 5-11).

Art Unit: 2143

19. As per claim 34 Logan disclosed a method according to Claim 1 wherein the fist of

resource providers transmitted by the GDLS is in order of their compatibility with the resource

Page 6

request, the most compatible resource provider placed first (col.4, lines 47-67 & col.5, lines 1-2).

20. As per claim 35 Logan disclosed a method according to Claim 1 wherein the GLLS

includes a Content Distribution Point Manager (CDPM), the CDPM adapted to provide

information about local resource providers within an ISP domain (col.4, lines 47-67 & col.5,

lines 1-2).

21. As per claim 36 Logan disclosed a method according to Claim 1 wherein the GDLS

includes a Content Distribution Point Manager (CDPM), the CDPM adapted to provide

information about resource providers throughout the network (col.4, lines 47-67 & col.5, lines 1-

2).

Response to Arguments

22. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-33 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asghar Bilgrami whose telephone number is 571-272-3907. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AB

Asghar Bilgrami Examiner Art Unit 2143

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER